Lost closs: Defned continuity, and save examples. $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is ats if $f^{-1}(U)$ is open in X where U is open in Y. We'll be working with premares a lot, so the following facts are use ful: $f^{-1}(U_{\alpha}A_{\alpha}) = U_{\alpha}f^{-1}(A_{\alpha})$ $\alpha \in I$ $\alpha \in I$ $f'(\Lambda A_{\alpha}) = \Lambda f'(A_{\alpha})$ $f^{-\prime}(A^{c}) = f^{-\prime}(A)^{c}$ The forward version is triokier $f(\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_{\alpha}) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} f(A_{\alpha})$ $f(\Lambda A_{\alpha}) \neq \Lambda f(A_{\alpha})$ but $f(A^{c}) \neq f(A)^{c}$

Exects: The first is a contrainent, and the second is also with a surjectivity hypotheses Now suppose $U \subseteq X$ is open. Then U inherits a national topology $Z_{U} = \{ V : V \leq U, V \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ Easy to see This is a topology. If f: X -> Y is continues and USX is open than flo: U > Y is also continues. Indeed, of WEY is open, $f|_{0}^{-1}(w) = f^{-1}(w) \cap U$ which is open in U. (> importat words.

We have a storing convose, effectively that continuity Blocal: If each PEX has a robud on already f 15 cts, then f 13 cts. Prop. Suppose f-X-> Y and for each pEX the exists an open set Up controlling p such that fly is continues. Then fis continues. Pf. Let WEY Le open. They $f^{-1}(\omega) = X \cap f^{-1}(\omega)$ = $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_{p}) \cap \mathcal{F}'(\mathcal{W})$ $O(O_p \cap f^{-1}(w))$ pex O STOP(W)

Each fills is open in Op and have also open in X. So f'(w) is a onum of open sets in X ad is open Topological spaces almit a notion of sameness. Det: f: X > Y is a homeonoprion of 1) it is a bisectury 2) if is continues 3) its inverse is also contancers. For exaple: Ris homeomorphic to (-T, T) f(4) = arctar (4) is a bijectuer and ots f'(x): (-II, II) > R is tank), who ob. In fuit homeomorphism yields au equivalere relation of top space: Xny al YnZ=> XnZ, etc.

It might be had to visualize the mole of failure: he containly of the inverse $f: [0, 1) \rightarrow S' = Z = R^2: |z| = 13$ e.g. (metric spaces) $f(x) = (ros(2\pi x), sub(2\pi x))$ (3 continue. (Why?) And it's bijective. But on your honework you show its invese is not contained. Now just because this I didn't woke we can't clue that some exist. But later in the class we'll be able to show those spaces are at the. Def: Given two top spreas Zi ad Zz, use say 2, 3 fines then to if Z, 2 Z2

The fiver a topology, the easier it is for f: (X,Z)>Y to be ots. The coarse, the easier it is for $q: \ell \rightarrow (\chi, \tau_2)$ to be cts, In fact for any top space Y, Xdisc -> Y -> Xind are always do. Hovever: If X his more then one element then f: 12 > Xdiz al g Xind > The are is of tray are constant (challage!) Anyway: good top spaces strike a labore between being too fine and too coarse.

To prevent being too coarse! Det: A top slogical space is Havedalf of for all up EX the exist numbers Da, Up of a, b with $O_a \Lambda O_b = p$. (on Job (Singletors are form aport) If X has more the one point, Xind fails (spectacululy) to by Hunderff. Every metric space is Housdorff: Cor: Ley netrizable spice > Musdal Cor: An indiscrete space with more the one point is not netrizable.

Conversere & sequeres. A sequence - Exi3 m X converses to x is For ever open set O contrars X thee exster Sta FARN, XIEU. Exercise: II X is a netric space, This is the Usual retion of convergence. Prop: In a Musdolf space livits are unuque. Pf: Suppose that al Z #7, Fud U,V, UNV=0, yEO, ZEV Rich NJN >> XnE U. Than for all NZN, Xn&V. So hot (I xo of this inf may tame ac in V) Prop: In Hunsdorff spices singletons and fine de sets a closel. (This is a veenter proverty Ti) (Hundorff is Tz)