

$L^1[0, b]$:

Start with $R[a, b]$. Riemann integrable functions.

Include continuous and piecewise continuous.

Fact: If $f \in R[a, b]$ and $\epsilon > 0$ then \exists a continuous g , $\int_a^b |f-g| < \epsilon$.

$(C[0, b], L^1)$ is fine, but not complete.

are Cauchy seq's that ought to converge to something discontin.

$(R[a, b], L^1)$ is no good: not a norm!

$$f = 0 \quad g = \begin{cases} 1 & x=0 \\ 0 & x \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{on } [0, 1]$$

$$\int |f| = 0, \quad \int |g| = 0. \quad \text{oops.}$$

\hat{L}^1 is equivalence classes of $R[0, b]$

$$f \sim g \text{ if } \int |f-g| = 0.$$

Integration is well defined on \hat{L}^1 .

$$\int g = \int f + \int g-f$$

$$|\int g-f| \leq \int |g-f| = 0.$$

Exercise: \hat{L}^1 is a vectorspace and

$\| [f] \| \equiv \int |f|$ for any rep is
well defined and
a norm.

Exercise $\hat{L}^1 [a,b]$ is not complete.

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \geq M$$

$$\frac{1}{M^2} \geq x$$

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} & x \geq \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{n} \end{cases}$$

$[f_n]$ is Cauchy in \hat{L}^1 but does not

converge $\left[\text{if } |f| \leq M. \text{ show } \| [f_n] - [f] \| \geq \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^1 x^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{M} \right.$
 $\left. = 2 \times \frac{1}{2} \Big|_0^1 = \frac{1}{M} \right.$

$L^1[0,b]$ is the completion of $\tilde{L}^1[a,b]$

For each $F \in L^1[0,b]$ is $\{f_n\}$ of Riemann integrable.

Cauchy in L^1 norm.

$$\begin{array}{c} [f_n] \longrightarrow F \\ L^1 \end{array}$$

But F is just an abstract thing.

St: $\int_a^b F$ is well defined by extension

I will say $f: [a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a representative of F

if there is a sequence in $R[a,b]$, $\{f_n\}$,

and $[f_n] \longrightarrow F$

$f_n \longrightarrow f$ pointwise.

More concretely, $\{f_n\}$ is Cauchy in L^1 ,
 so it converges to some F , and $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise.

- If f is a rep of F we define

$$\int_a^b f = \int_a^b F = \lim \int_a^b f_n \quad \text{for any } f_n \rightarrow F.$$

Facts

$f_n \rightarrow 0$ pw, f_n Cauchy
 \Rightarrow

- * 1) Every $F \in L^1$ admits a representative f
 (If $F = [f]$ $f \in \mathcal{R}[a,b]$ then f is a rep.)
- * 2) There are (bounded!) functions $f: [a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are not reps.
- * 3) If $f \in \mathcal{R}[a,b]$ is a rep of F then $\int_a^b F = \int_a^b f$

[if $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise and $\{f_n\}$ is Cauchy in L^1 ,
 $\int_a^b f_n \rightarrow \int_a^b f$]

4) If f, g are reps of F, G $f+g$ is a rep of $F+G$,
 af is a rep of aF

f_n, g_n conv F, G $f_n \rightarrow f$ pw $g_n \rightarrow g$ pw $f_n + g_n \rightarrow F+G$
 etc.

* 5) If f is a rep of $F \in L^1$ then

$|f|$ is a rep of an $H \in L^1$ and

$\int |f| = \|F\|$, We'll call $H = |F|$.

6) If f is a rep of F and f is a rep of G
then $G = F$ (if 0 is a rep of F , $F = 0$)

7 $[C[0,1]]$ is dense in $L^1[0,1]$

$[C_c(\mathbb{R})]$ is dense in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ← carries up...

↓

= 0 outside some interval

We will identify F with any of its reps.

Same game works for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Similar game for \mathbb{R} :

\hat{L} equivalence classes of functions f ,

$$f \in \mathcal{R}[a,b] \quad \forall [a,b]$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f| \equiv \lim \int_{-n}^n |f| \quad \text{finite}$$

$$f \sim g \quad \text{if} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f-g| = 0$$

Composition $S: X \rightarrow Y$
 $T: Y \rightarrow Z$

$T \circ S: X \rightarrow Z$ is def:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T \circ S)(x)\|_Z &\leq \|T\| \|Sx\|_Y \\ &\leq \|T\| \|s\| \|x\|_X \end{aligned}$$

So $\|T \circ S\| \leq \|T\| \|S\|$.

But strict inequality is possible:

$$S(x, y) = (x, 0) \quad \|S\| = 1$$

$$T(x, y) = (0, y) \quad \|T\| = 1$$

$$(T \circ S)(x, y) = (0, 0) \quad \|T \circ S\| = 0.$$

$B(X) = B(X, X)$ If X is Banach, so is $B(X)$!

Closed under addition but also composition.

Forms what is known as an algebra

(vector space with mult that sets
along with vector space:

$$(A+B)C = AC + BC$$

$AB \neq BA$ in general, though.

Our mult is composition

This algebra has an identity, a map

$$I, \quad IA = AI = A \quad \forall A, \quad \text{namely the identity}$$

Some elements of $B(X)$ admit inverses, and some do not.

$BA = I \leftarrow B$ is a left inverse

$AB = I \leftarrow B$ is a right inverse

both: B is an inverse.

0 has none of the above.

$$r(x) = (0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$$

$$l(x) = (x_2, x_3, \dots)$$

$$(l \circ r)(x) = x$$

We'll focus on

continuous inverses

and then see why

it doesn't matter.

l is r 's left inverse

r is l 's right inverse

l doesn't have a left inverse.

$$(L \circ l)(x) = x$$

$$x = (1, 0, \dots)$$

$$l(x) = 0$$

$$L(l(x)) = 0 \neq x \text{ no matter what } x \text{ is}$$

$$(r \circ R)(1, 0, \dots)$$

$$= (1, 0, \dots)$$

↑

$$\text{but } r(w) = (0, \dots)$$

Thm: If $A \in B(X)$ and $\|A\| < 1$ then

$I+A$ is invertible and

$$(I+A)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k$$

Pf: Note $\|A^k\| \leq \|A\|^k$. Since $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|A\|^k$ converges to $\frac{1}{1-\|A\|}$

(this uses $\|A\| < 1$), so does $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|A^k\|$ and hence

the series is abs conv \Rightarrow convergent.

$$\text{Now } (I-A) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k = I - A^{k+1}$$

$$\text{and } A^{k+1} \rightarrow 0.$$

$$A B_n \rightarrow A B \quad \|A B_n - A B\| \leq \|A\| \|B_n - B\| !$$

$$\text{So } (I-A) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k = I.$$

$$\text{But } \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k (I-A) = I - A^{n+1} \text{ as well } \dots$$

What is this saying?

I is the finest invertible operator around.

If we jiggle I by a bit

$$T = I - A$$

(A bit being $\|A\| < 1$) then the result is invertible as well.

Exercise: If B has a continuous inverse

and $\|A\| \leq \|B^{-1}\|^{-1}$ then $B+A$ has a continuous inverse

$$B + A = B [I + B^{-1}A]$$

$$\|B^{-1}A\| \leq \|B^{-1}\| \|A\|$$

Cor: The set of elements of $B(Y, Y)$ with continuous inverses is open.

Pf: Suppose $T \in B(Y, Y)$ has an inverse T^{-1} .

Suppose $\|A\| < \|T^{-1}\|^{-1}$.

Observe $T+A = T(I + T^{-1}A)$.

Moreover, $\|T^{-1}A\| \leq \|T^{-1}\| \|A\| < 1$.

So $T+A$ is a composition of continuous invertible maps and is invertible (and cts).

$$(T+A)^{-1} = (I + T^{-1}A)^{-1} T^{-1}.$$

But then there is a ball of radius $\varepsilon = \|T^{-1}\|^{-1}$ about T inside of the set of cts invertible maps.

Baire Category Theorem

A complete metric space is not a countable union of nowhere dense sets.

Nowhere dense: \bar{A} does not contain an open ball.

E.g. \mathbb{Q} with usual metric.

Singletons are nowhere dense. $(a-\epsilon, a+\epsilon) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ is a ball.

\mathbb{Q} is a countable union of its singletons, so it can't be

Let's apply, then return to it.