

Equivalent norms:

Consider $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ on \mathbb{R}^2

$$\|x\|_1 \leq 2 \|x\|_\infty$$

$$\|x\|_1 = |x_1| + |x_2|$$

$$\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_1$$

$$\|x\|_\infty = \max(|x_1|, |x_2|)$$

$$\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_1 \leq 2 \|x\|_\infty$$

This configuration occurs more generally:

$\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ on X are equivalent if $\exists m, M > 0$,

$$m \|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_2 \leq M \|x\|_1$$

($m \leq M$, of course $m=1, M=2$ is above)

$(X, \|\cdot\|_1) \sim (X, \|\cdot\|_2)$ if norms are equivalent.

Prop: This is an equivalence relation.

Pf:

$$m \|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_2 \leq M \|x\|_1,$$

$$n \|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_3 \leq N \|x\|_2$$

$$mn \|x\|_1 \leq m \|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_3 \leq N \|x\|_2 \leq NM \|x\|_1,$$

The point:

Prop: If $(X, \|\cdot\|_1)$ and $(X, \|\cdot\|_2)$ are equivalent then

$$x_k \rightarrow x \text{ w.r.t. } \|\cdot\|_1 \iff x_k \rightarrow x \text{ w.r.t. } \|\cdot\|_2.$$

To this end:

Lemma: Suppose $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ are norms on X and $\exists K$

$$\|x\|_1 \leq K \|x\|_2 \quad \forall x \in X. \text{ Then}$$

a) if $x_k \rightarrow x$ w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_2$, $\Rightarrow x_k \rightarrow x$ w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_1$.

b) if $\{x_k\}$ is Cauchy w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_2 \Rightarrow \{x_k\}$ is

Cauchy w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_1$.

Pf of a):

Suppose $x_k \rightarrow x$ w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_2$.

$$\text{Then } 0 \leq \|x - x_k\|_1 \leq K \|x - x_k\|_2.$$

Since $\lim \|x - x_k\|_2 = 0$, by the squeeze thm,

$$\lim \|x - x_k\|_1 = 0 \text{ also and } x_k \rightarrow x \text{ w.r.t. } \|\cdot\|_1.$$

b) Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Pick N so if $n, m \geq N$, $\|x_n - x_m\|_2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{K}$.

Then if $n, m \geq N$, $\|x_n - x_m\|_1 \leq K \|x_n - x_m\|_2 < K \frac{\varepsilon}{K} = \varepsilon$.

Exercise: Now show converse thm.

Brg claim: All norms on a finite dim vector space are equivalent.

Lemma: Suppose x_1, \dots, x_n is a basis for X .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Define } f: \mathbb{R}^n &\rightarrow X \\ f(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) &= \|\beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_n x_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then f is continuous (\mathbb{R}^n with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$).

Pf: Let $M = \|x_1\| + \dots + \|x_n\|$.

Given $\beta, \hat{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) - f(\hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_n)| \\ &= |(\beta_1 - \hat{\beta}_1)x_1 + \dots + (\beta_n - \hat{\beta}_n)x_n| \\ &\leq |\beta_1 - \hat{\beta}_1| \|x_1\| + \dots + |\beta_n - \hat{\beta}_n| \|x_n\| \\ &\leq M \|\beta - \hat{\beta}\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $\|\beta - \hat{\beta}\|_\infty < \frac{\varepsilon}{M}$, $|f(\beta) - f(\hat{\beta})| < \varepsilon$
and f is uniformly continuous. (see 5!).

$$S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \|x\|_\infty = 1\}$$

I claim S is compact.

Idea (\mathbb{R}^2)

(x_k, y_k) in S

$$|x_k| \leq 1 \quad x_{k_j} \rightarrow x$$

$$|y_{k_j}| \leq 1 \quad \hat{y}_l = y_{k_j} \rightarrow y$$

$$\hat{x}_l = x_{k_j} \rightarrow x$$

$$\begin{matrix} \hat{p}_l \\ (\hat{x}_l, \hat{y}_l) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_\infty} \begin{matrix} p \\ (x, y) \end{matrix}$$

$$\left(\|\hat{p}_l - p\|_\infty \leq |\hat{x}_l - x| + |\hat{y}_l - y| \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally $\|\hat{p}_l\|_\infty = 1$ for all l

$\|p\|_\infty = 1$ also. (Continuity of norm)

From the lemma, since S is empty,

$$m \leq f(\beta) \leq M \quad \text{for all } \beta \in S.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda\beta) &= \|\lambda\beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda\beta_n x_n\| \\ &= |\lambda| f(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

$$m \|\beta\|_\infty \leq f(\beta) \leq M \|\beta\|_\infty \quad \text{for all } \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Now with $\|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_2$
 f_1, f_2

$$m_1 \|\beta\|_\infty \leq f_1(\beta) \leq M_1 \|\beta\|_\infty$$

$$m_2 \|\beta\|_\infty \leq f_2(\beta) \leq M_2 \|\beta\|_\infty$$

Given $x \in X$, $x = \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_n x_n$ for some β .

$$\|x\|_1 = f_1(\beta)$$

$$\|x\|_2 = f_2(\beta)$$

$$\|x\|_2 = f_2(\beta) \leq M_2 \|\beta\|_\infty = \frac{M_2}{m_1} m_1 \|\beta\|_\infty \leq \frac{M_2}{m_1} f_1(\beta) = \frac{M_2}{m_1} \|x\|_1$$

Similarly

$$\|x\|_1 \leq \frac{M_1}{m_2} \|x\|_2$$

$$\frac{m_2}{M_1} \|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \frac{M_2}{m_1} \|x\|_1$$

So norms aren't so riveting on finite dimensional vector spaces

All alike!

No different notions of convergence.

No different notions of Cauchy sequences.

But: $Z \rightarrow$ sequences that end in zeros.

$\|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_\infty$ are norms on these spaces.

($Z \in \ell_1, Z \in \ell_\infty$).

These norms are not equivalent:

e.g: $z_k = (1, 1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$

$$\|z_k\|_\infty = 1$$

$$\|z_k\|_1 = k$$

If equiv, $\|z_k\|_1 \leq M \|z_k\|_\infty = M$

$$k \leq M \quad \forall k \quad \text{no!}$$

Claim:

\mathbb{R}^n with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is complete

Pf: (\mathbb{R}^2) Suppose $\{p_n\}$ is Cauchy.

$$p_n = (x_n, y_n).$$

Observe $|x_n - x_m| \leq \|p_n - p_m\|_\infty$ and hence

$\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in \mathbb{R} .

So $x_n \rightarrow x$ for some x .

Ditto, $y_n \rightarrow y$ for some y .

But if $p = (x, y)$,

$$0 \leq \|p - p_n\|_\infty \leq |x - x_n| + |y - y_n|.$$

Since $|x - x_n| \rightarrow 0$ and $|y - y_n| \rightarrow 0$, $\|p - p_n\| \rightarrow 0$

by the squeeze theorem and $p_n \rightarrow p$.

Cor: \mathbb{R}^n with any norm is complete.

Pf: Suppose $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on \mathbb{R}^n and $\{p_n\}$ is Cauchy with respect to it.

Since $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ are equivalent,

$\{p_n\}$ is Cauchy with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$.

Thus $p_n \rightarrow p$ for some p , w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$.

But from equivalence of norms, $p_n \rightarrow p$ w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|$ as well.

Exercise (HW?) Any finite dim vector space is complete.

Def: A Banach space is a complete normed linear space.

All finite dimensional spaces are complete.

But: \mathbb{Z} with l_∞ norm.

$$x_n = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, 0, \dots)$$

$\|x_n - x_m\|_\infty \leq \max(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{m})$, so is Cauchy.

But if $x = (x(1), \dots, x(N), 0, \dots, 0)$

then $\|x - x_n\| \geq \frac{1}{N+1}$ for $n \geq N+1$.

So $x_n \not\rightarrow x$.

So the sequence has no limit.

l_1 is Complete

x_n Cauchy in l_1

Given $\varepsilon > 0 \exists N, n, m \geq N \Rightarrow$

$$\|x_n - x_m\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_n(k) - x_m(k)| < \varepsilon.$$

In particular, $n, m \geq N \Rightarrow |x_n(k) - x_m(k)| < \varepsilon \text{ if } n, m \geq N.$

I.e. for each k , $x_n(k)$ is Cauchy in \mathbb{R} and converges to some limit $x(k)$.

Q: is $x = (x(k), \dots) \in l_1$?

Q: if so, does $x_n \rightarrow x$ in l_1 ?

A: Cauchy sequences are bounded. So $\exists M,$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_n(k)| \leq M \quad \forall n.$$

For each $N,$

$$\sum_{k=1}^N |x_n(k)| \leq M.$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^N |x(k)| \leq M \Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x(k)| \leq M.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Pick N so $n, m \geq N \Rightarrow \|x_m - x_n\|_1 < \varepsilon$

A:
If $n \geq N$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^K |x(k) - x_n(k)| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^K |x_m(k) - x_n(k)|$$

But $\sum_{k=1}^K | \quad | \leq \|x_m - x_n\|_1 < \varepsilon$ if $m \geq N$.

So $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \uparrow \leq \varepsilon$.

So $\sum_{k=1}^K |x(k) - x_n(k)| \leq \varepsilon$ if $n \geq N$, $\forall K$.

So $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x(k) - x_n(k)| \leq \varepsilon$ if $n \geq N$.

So $\|x - x_n\|_1 \leq \varepsilon < 2\varepsilon$ if $n \geq N$.