Closed sets are completely determined by convergne of sequences,

Two metrics might be different but still determine the same conversat sequences. In this case, they detamine the some orpen and closed sets.

Exercise: An abiting uncon of open sets is open. Exercise : An arbitry intersection of closed sets is closed . An abitry intersection of open sels need not be open. (int) Def: Given <sup>a</sup> set d in <sup>a</sup> metric space, # . ( . He closure of At is the intersection of all closed sets containing A .

Observe: 
$$
\overline{A}
$$
 is closed,  $\overline{A}$  is the smallest closed set  
\ncontaining A,  
\nPop: Leh  $A \subseteq X$  and let  $x \in X$ . TFAF  
\n1)  $x \in \overline{A}$   
\n2)  $\forall \xi > 0$   $\overline{b}_{\xi}(x) \wedge A \neq \emptyset$  (i.e.  $\exists x \in A$  with  $d(x) > \epsilon$ )  
\n3)  $\overline{b}_{\xi(x)} \wedge a$  sequence in A converges by b x.  
\nPy: 1) = 2) *with*  $\xi \ge 0$   $\overline{b}_{\xi}(x) \wedge A = \emptyset$ .  $\overline{b}_{\xi(x)} \subseteq A$ .  
\nSince  $\overline{b}_{\xi}(x) = \overline{b}_{\xi(x)} \wedge \overline{A} = \overline{b}_{\xi}(x) = \overline{b}_{\xi(x)}$ .  
\nHence  $x \notin \overline{A}$ .  
\n2) = 3) For each *with*  $\overline{p}_{\xi}(x) \in B_{V_{n}}(x) \wedge A$ .

Then 
$$
(x_1)
$$
 is a sequence in A with  $d(x_1) < \frac{1}{n} \gg 0$ .

\nSo  $x_1 \gg x_2$ 

\nSuppose  $(x_1)$  is a sequence on A converges to x.

\nThen  $x_1$  is also a sequence in A. Hence the unit of the square of  $x_1$  is the sum of the square of  $x_1$ .)

\nNote:  $\overline{R} = R$ .

\nThus,  $\overline{R} = R$ .

\nThus,  $\overline{R} = R$ .

\nSubitivity will by thus  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ .

Where: 
$$
\overline{R} = \mathbb{R}
$$
.  $(\sqrt{2})$ 

\n $\overline{A}$  is the set of points in X. That can be approximated arbitrarily well by fluxes in A.

Def: We say A is dense  $x \times .4 = 1$ X. Ve say A is dense in<br>A space X is separable<br>Jense subset. A space X is separable it it admits a countable dense subset.

Countable is managellate, separable is almost as good,

Def: We say A is deuxe  $x, x, f$   $\overline{A} = x$ .<br>
A spece  $x, x$  seperable it it addits a countile<br>
Lexel subject.<br>
Countrilly is manipolety soperable is always as good,<br>
PLO,  $I \subseteq C$  LO,  $I$   $I = PC$ ,  $I = PC$ ,  $I = P$ <br>  $P = 2$ ,  $I = 2$ <br>  $P[0,1] \subseteq C[0,1]$  $I$   $P$   $I$ <sub> $o$ </sub> $I$   $o$  $P$  $n$  $I$ Closed?<br>(de se?) We<sup>711</sup> prove  $P[0,1] \subseteq C[0,1]$  Is  $P[0,1]$  apen!<br>  $N$ <br>
polynomials  $P[0,1]$   $\frac{C[0,1]}{C[0,1]}$  apen!<br>  $\frac{C[0,1]}{C[0,1]}$   $\frac{C[0,1]}{C[0,1]}$   $\frac{C[0,1]}{C[0,1]}$  $\bigwedge$ set.<br>Whe seperable is almost as good,<br>.<br>[0,i] Is PEo,iJ apen?<br>dosed?<br>We'll prove<br>this  $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{1}}\cdot\frac{1}{1}}$  apen?<br>Closed?<br>Clerx?) We'll<br>Clerx?) We'll

Indeed, polynomials with interval coefficients are *does*  
\n
$$
M_{\sigma}^{1}(res \text{ on } relab-d)
$$
\n
$$
M_{\sigma}^{1}(res \text{ on } relab-d)
$$
\n
$$
M_{\sigma}^{1}(res \text{ on } relab-d)
$$
\n
$$
M_{\sigma}^{1}(x,y) = \lambda_{x}(x,y)
$$
\n
$$
L_{x}
$$
\n

Product spaces

X, y metric spaces de, dy

 $X_{x}Y = \{ (x,y): x \in X, y \in Y \}$ 

 $(x_{n,4n}) \longrightarrow (x,y) \iff x \rightarrow x, 7n \rightarrow y$ 

 $d_{x,y}((x_{0},y_{0}),(x_{1},y_{1})) = \begin{cases} d_{x}(x_{0},x_{1}) + d_{y}(x_{0},y_{1}) \\ \int d_{x}^{2}(x_{0},x_{1}) + k_{y}^{2}(x_{0},y_{1}) \\ max(A_{x}(x_{0},x_{1}),d_{y}(x_{0},y_{1})) \end{cases}$ 

Sall metrics

Continuity: Def: We say 
$$
f: X \rightarrow Y
$$
 is continuous at  $x \in X$  if

\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\oint_{\partial r} d\mid E > 0 &\text{there is } S > 0 &\text{such that} \\
\oint_{\partial r} d\mid y \in B_{\delta}(x), &\text{d}y(\cdot f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon, \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
y \text{ with } d_{x}(x,y) < \delta\n\end{array}
$$

$$
f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{P}
$$
 is  $\frac{1}{x}$  of  $x$  if  $\int x \, dx$  all  $\epsilon > 0$  the *exists*  
570 such that for all  $y = w$ ,  $||x - y|| \le 6$  then  

$$
|f(x) - f(x)| \le \epsilon.
$$



Let  $670.$  They sure  $f$  is  $\sigma$ ts. There  $e_1$  ists  $6 > 0$ such that  $f(B_{\epsilon}(x)) \subseteq B_{\epsilon}(f(x))$ . Since  $x_1 \gg x$  there exists N such that if  $n \ge N$ ,  $x_n \in B_S(x)$ . But then  $\beta$   $n \gg N$ ,  $f(x_1) \in f(f(x)) \in B_{\epsilon}(f(x))$ . Have  $f(x_1)$  s  $f(x)$ . Conversely, suppose f is not continuous at x. Then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that So all  $6 > 0$  $f(B_s(x)) \not\subseteq B_e(x)$ . So for each  $neW$ We can pick  $x_0 \in B_{1_n}(x)$  such that  $f(x_1) \notin B_g(f(x))$ . Obsence  $x_1 \rightarrow x_0$  But  $f(x_0) \rightarrow f(x)$ since  $B_g(f(x))$  contains no terms  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ f(y) \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $F: Clo_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  $E.6$  $F(f) = f(0)$  $(e^{\circ}=\iota)$  $F(e_{x\rho}) =$  $F(s_{19}) = 0$ Is F cts it C[O/] is given the  $l_p$  norm?  $p=\infty$ ? Yes. Suprose  $f_1 \rightarrow f$ . Then  $||f-f_{\lambda}||_{\infty} \rightarrow \infty$  $B +$  $| f_{1}(0) - f_{0}(0) | \le || f_{n} - f ||$  $|f_{\Lambda}(\omega) - f(\omega)| \rightarrow 0.$  $\sim$  $G_0$   $f_n(0) \rightarrow f(0)$  $60$  $F(f_n) \Rightarrow F(f)_n$ 



No: not continuous.